

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

11 JAMES SPEER and CARLA HUDSON,  
12 individually and on behalf of a Class of  
similarly situated individuals,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 AMAZON.COM, INC., and A2Z  
16 DEVELOPMENT CENTER, INC.,

17 Defendants.

NO.

COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL - i

**BORDE LAW PLLC**  
600 Stewart Street, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
(206) 905-6129

1 Plaintiffs James Speer and Carla Hudson, for their Class Action Complaint against  
2 Defendants Amazon.com, Inc. and a2z Development Center, Inc., d/b/a Amazon Lab126  
3 (collectively referred to herein as “Amazon”), state and allege:  
4

## 5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 1. There has been a proliferation in the development and sale of voice-activated  
7 personal assistant devices used in households and other buildings. This action is about Amazon’s  
8 unlawful recording, permanent storage, analysis, and use of the voices and conversations of  
9 individuals communicating with or otherwise heard by Amazon’s Alexa recording devices.  
10

## 11 **II. PARTIES**

12 2. Plaintiff James Speer is a natural person and citizen of the State of New Mexico.

13 3. Plaintiff Carla Hudson is a natural person and a citizen of the State of Iowa.

14 4. Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and  
15 principal place of business at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington.

16 5. Defendant a2z Development Center, Inc., d/b/a Amazon Lab126, is a Delaware  
17 corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 1120 Enterprise Way,  
18 Sunnyvale, California. Amazon Lab126 is a subsidiary of Amazon.com, Inc. employing persons  
19 working on Alexa-enabled devices and software.  
20

## 21 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

22 6. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this dispute under 28 U.S.C. §  
23 1332(d) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs,  
24 and because at least one member of each class is a citizen of a different state than at least one  
25 Defendant.



1           11.     Since 2014, Amazon has launched additional Echo products, such as the Echo  
2 Dot, Echo Plus, Echo Sub, Echo Show, and Echo Input. It also added the Alexa program to other  
3 Amazon products such as the Amazon Fire TV digital media player. In May 2017, Amazon  
4 introduced the first video-enabled Echo Device—the Echo Show—which features a display  
5 screen and a camera that can be used for making video calls.  
6

7           12.     Amazon reported that as of January 2019, over 100 Million devices had been sold  
8 with Alexa pre-installed. Amazon also makes Alexa available for use on third-party  
9 manufactured products in its effort to expand the reach of Alexa as far as possible and ultimately  
10 to collect and store as much data on individuals as possible for its commercial purposes.  
11 Plaintiffs refer to all of the devices that run Alexa voice-enabled software as “Alexa Devices.”<sup>3</sup>  
12

13           13.     While most people purchase Alexa Devices to use in households, many  
14 commercial establishments – e.g., offices, stores – also have Alexa Devices that record the voices  
15 and communications of those in these buildings.

16 **B.     How Alexa Works**

17           14.     Alexa Devices are designed to respond to commands from human voices. All  
18 Echo devices are voice-activated and are constantly listening in the owner’s home for a “wake  
19 word” which is set by default to “Alexa.” If someone says the wake word, the device starts  
20 recording the user’s voice and uploads the recording to Amazon’s Alexa Cloud. Amazon states  
21 that the device can detect a user speaking the wake word from across the room. In fact, Alexa  
22 can detect a user speaking in another room behind a closed door.  
23  
24  
25

---

<sup>3</sup> For purposes of this litigation, “Alexa Devices” does not include Echo Dot Kids Edition.

1           15. While Amazon represents that Alexa only records voices and communications  
2 once it is activated by its wake word, that is not true. Alexa is constantly listening to voices and  
3 conversations and sometimes records these conversations even without prompting by a wake  
4 word and the persons in the household have no way of knowing that Alexa is recording them.

5           16. The cloud transcribes Alexa's voice recordings into text and translates the text  
6 into an "intent" which is a standardized machine-compatible translation of the text. The cloud  
7 relays the intent back to the software on Echo and the device acts on the intent through the Alexa  
8 software or on an app; acting on the intent is referred to as a "skill." Alexa also stores voices  
9 and communications in local memory on the Echo device itself before those voices and  
10 communications are sent to the cloud. This often happens when there is no Wi-Fi connectivity  
11 at the time of the recording.  
12

13           17. To use the Alexa app, a person must have a registered Amazon Alexa account  
14 and set-up the device which entails pairing the device with the app. After the device is paired  
15 with the app, it is ready to be used by anyone – not just the person who purchased the device and  
16 has a registered Amazon Alexa account. Alexa also recognizes the voices of others in a  
17 household or other building with an Alexa Device who have their own Alexa devices in their  
18 own households or other buildings. In other words, any single Alexa device, regardless of  
19 location, can recognize the voice of an Alexa user. And any single Alexa device can be used by  
20 people who do not have their own Alexa device.  
21  
22

23 **C. Amazon's Permanent Storage, Analysis, and Use of Alexa Recordings**

24           18. Rather than delete a recording after Alexa acts on it as one would naturally expect  
25 Alexa would do, Amazon saves a permanent recording of the user's voice and communication

1 with Alexa and all other voices and communications it also hears in the background on its own  
2 servers. Amazon personnel review some of these individual conversations and Amazon then  
3 uses the recordings for its own commercial purposes. Upon information and belief, Amazon  
4 also shares these recordings with other entities and also purchases/acquires other entities' data  
5 to integrate with its own data to enable Amazon to personally identify as many voices as possible  
6 that its Alexa devices record.  
7

8 19. Amazon obtains and stores as many Alexa recordings as it can to analyze  
9 consumer behavior. There is no reason Amazon has to permanently store or review Alexa  
10 recordings. Alexa Devices could process audio interactions locally on the device and send only  
11 a digital query, rather than a voice recording, to Amazon's servers. Or, Amazon could upload  
12 audio recordings to short-term memory in the cloud and immediately overwrite those recordings  
13 after processing, much like Alexa constantly overwrites the audio it captures prior to a user  
14 saying a wake word.  
15

16 20. Other similar devices record communications but do not store them permanently.  
17 For example, Apple's "Siri" smart speaker program records communications and sends them to  
18 Apple servers, but then Apple deletes the recordings after a short time.  
19

20 21. Because Alexa devices respond to any individual who says the wake word (or  
21 another word mistaken by Alexa for the wake word), the devices record communications with  
22 individuals who did not purchase the device or install the Alexa App and are not registered Alexa  
23 account holders.  
24

25 22. Alexa could be programmed to determine whether the person speaking is a  
registered Alexa account holder who has agreed to be recorded and inform anyone else

1 communicating with it that Amazon is creating and storing a permanent recording of the person  
2 and request that the person consent to the recording. If the person did not consent, Alexa could  
3 deactivate its recording of any communication with the person. Although Alexa could be  
4 programmed to do these things, in fact, Amazon does not warn unregistered persons that it is  
5 creating and storing permanent recordings of their voices and communications and does not  
6 attempt to obtain their consent to do so. Accordingly, these unregistered persons never consent  
7 to the recording, storage, review, or use of their voices and communications.  
8

9 23. Amazon's permanent storage of the voices and communications recorded by  
10 Alexa creates a very serious and unnecessary risk that these voices and communications could  
11 be obtained and used by a hacker. Alexa Devices can and do also record and store information  
12 about when someone unlocks a house door, opens a garage door, turns on lights, etc. This  
13 information could be analyzed to determine the history/patterns of persons – e.g., when they  
14 leave for or come home from work each day - and thereby unnecessarily enhance their exposure  
15 to various criminal activity.  
16

17 24. While Amazon claims it uses the voices and recordings from its devices to  
18 improve the functionality of the devices, it does not need to record and permanently store every  
19 single voice and communication it records for this purpose. And even if it does, it could and  
20 should immediately delete the voices and recordings after it has listened to them for that purpose.  
21 There is no reason for Amazon to retain these recordings permanently.  
22

#### 23 **D. Deletion of Alexa Recording Transcripts**

24 25. Amazon registered account holders can review, listen to, and delete voice  
25 recordings associated with their account using the Voice History feature available in the Alexa

1 app and the Alexa Privacy Hub at [www.amazon.com/alexaprivacy](http://www.amazon.com/alexaprivacy). When an account holder  
2 deletes a voice recording, Amazon deletes the transcripts associated with both the account  
3 holder's request and Alexa's response. Amazon does not, however, delete everything regarding  
4 that interaction with Alexa. Amazon has even stated that it retains what it assumes account  
5 holders would want or expect to be retained.  
6

7 26. For example, Amazon does not store the audio of Alexa's response, but retains  
8 other records of an account holder's Alexa interactions, including records of actions Alexa took  
9 in response to the account holder's request. Moreover, when Alexa account holders interact with  
10 an Alexa skill, the developer of the skill may also retain records of the interaction.

11 27. While Alexa account holders can delete Alexa recordings of their voice and  
12 communications, persons recorded by an Alexa device who are not registered Alexa account  
13 holders - like the class members in this case - cannot delete the recordings.  
14

15 **E. Alexa's Nonconsensual Recording of Plaintiffs**

16 28. Plaintiff Speer is an adult citizen of the State of New Mexico.

17 29. Plaintiff Hudson is an adult citizen of the State of Iowa.

18 30. Plaintiffs do not own, and have never owned, any Alexa-supported devices.

19 31. Plaintiffs have, on various occasions, been in the vicinity of Alexa-supported  
20 devices (for example, while visiting the home of a friend or family member with such a device).  
21 On those occasions, the devices recorded Plaintiffs' voices and communications and  
22 permanently stored those recordings on Amazon servers. Amazon may have also analyzed some  
23 or all of those recordings.  
24  
25

1           32.     Plaintiffs were unaware that when the device was activated with a wake word it  
2 recorded their voices and permanently stored them and the ensuing private communications.

3           33.     Plaintiffs never consented to the recording, storage, review/analysis, or use of  
4 their voice and communications.

5                               **V.     CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

6           34.     Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), (b)(3), (c)(4),  
7 and/or (c)(5) individually and on behalf of the following class of similarly situated individuals:

8                     All individuals who resided or worked in, or visited or otherwise occupied,  
9 households or businesses with Alexa Devices in the United States whose voices  
10 and communications were recorded by such Devices and who were not Alexa  
11 registered account holders.

12           The reference to “Alexa Devices” in this definition excludes Amazon’s Echo Dot Kids Edition.

13           35.     **Class Period:** The class period extends back four years from the date this action  
14 is filed.

15           36.     **Exclusions from the Class:** Excluded from the Class are: (1) any Judge or  
16 Magistrate presiding over this action and any members of their families; (2) Amazon, Amazon’s  
17 subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Amazon or its parents  
18 have a controlling interest and their current or former employees, officers, and directors; (3)  
19 persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) persons  
20 whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released;  
21 (5) Plaintiffs’ counsel and Amazon’s counsel; and (6) the legal representatives, successors, and  
22 assigns of any such excluded persons.

23           37.     **Numerosity:** The exact number of Members of the Class is unknown and  
24 unavailable to Plaintiffs at this time, but individual joinder in this case is impracticable. The  
25

1 Class likely consist of thousands of individuals and their Members can be identified through  
2 Amazon's records.

3       **38. Predominant Common Questions:** The Class Members' claims present  
4 common questions of law and fact, and those questions predominate over any questions that may  
5 affect individual Class Members. Common questions for the Class include, but are not limited  
6 to, the following:  
7

- 8       a. Whether Alexa Devices 1) make recordings of the voices of the persons  
9 who interact with them, 2) store recordings of voices and  
10 communications; or (3) transmit recordings of voices and  
11 communications to others or for the use by others.
- 12       b. Whether Alexa Devices make recordings of the content of  
13 communications of persons who interact with them.
- 14       c. Whether Alexa Devices make recordings, store, and/or transmit the voices  
15 and communications of persons who do not interact with them but whose  
16 voices and communications are nonetheless audible in the background  
17 while another is interacting with the Devices.
- 18       d. Whether Amazon permanently or semi-permanently stores the recordings  
19 it makes of the voices and communications of persons who interact with  
20 Alexa devices or are otherwise recorded by Alexa devices.
- 21       e. Whether Amazon analyzes the recordings it makes of the voices and  
22 communications of persons who interact with or are otherwise recorded  
23 by Alexa Devices and uses these for its own commercial purposes.
- 24       f. Whether persons who use Alexa Devices in households have an  
25 objectively reasonable expectation of confidentiality.
- g. Whether persons in a household with an Alexa Device who do not use the  
Device nonetheless have an objectively reasonable expectation of  
confidentiality in their voices and communications.
- h. Whether Amazon fails to obtain consent to record, store, and/or transmit  
voices or communications of persons using or otherwise recorded by  
Alexa Devices who are not registered users of the Devices.
- i. Whether Alexa Devices warn non-registrants in households or businesses  
with the Devices that their voices and communications are recorded and  
permanently or semi-permanently stored, transmitted, and/or analyzed

and used by Amazon or others with whom Amazon allows to access the voices and communications.

- j. Whether Alexa Devices record voices and communications even when they have not been activated by a wake word.
- k. Whether it is necessary for Amazon to permanently or semi-permanently record voices and communications in order for the Devices to function to answer the registered users' questions or follow the users' instructions.
- l. Whether Alexa Devices could be programmed to determine whether the person speaking is a registered account holder who has agreed to be recorded and inform anyone else communicating with it that Amazon is creating and storing a permanent recording of the person and request that the person consent to the recording.
- m. Whether Alexa Devices could deactivate their recording of any communications with persons who are not registered Alexa account holders.
- n. Whether Alexa Devices record the voices and communications of persons who participate in telephone calls placed with Alexa.
- o. Whether Alexa Devices permanently store text messages they are instructed to send.
- p. Whether persons who are not registered Alexa account holders are given access to the accounts of Alexa registrants to determine what voice and communications have been recorded and/or stored.
- q. Whether persons who are not registered Alexa account holders can delete Alexa's recording of their voices and communications.
- r. Whether Alexa's recording and permanent storage of the voices and communications of Members of the Class violated the Washington Wiretap Statute, WA Rev. Code § 9.73.030.
- s. Whether Alexa's recordings, storage, and/or transmission of the voices and communications of Members of the Class invaded the common law privacy rights of these Members.
- t. Whether Amazon was unjustly enriched by its violations of the Washington Wiretap Statute, WA Rev. Code § 9.73.030, and invasion of the common law privacy rights of the Members of the Class.
- u. Whether Amazon follows or complies with industry standards, customs, or best practices recording, storing, and/or transmitting voices and communications.

1           39.     **Typicality:** Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the other Members of  
2 the Class. Plaintiffs and the Class Members all suffered invasions of privacy as a result of  
3 Amazon's uniform wrongful conduct.

4           40.     **Adequate Representation:** Plaintiffs have and will continue to fairly and  
5 adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class, and Plaintiffs have retained counsel  
6 competent and experienced in complex litigation and class actions. Plaintiffs have no interests  
7 antagonistic to those members of the Class, and Amazon has no defenses unique to Plaintiffs.  
8 Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the  
9 Members of the Class, and they have the resources to do so. Neither Plaintiffs nor their counsel  
10 have any interest adverse to those of the other Members of the Class.

11           41.     **Substantial Benefits:** This class action is appropriate for certification because  
12 class proceedings are superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication  
13 of this controversy and joinder of all Members of the Class is impracticable. This proposed class  
14 action presents fewer management difficulties than individual litigation, and provides the  
15 benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single  
16 court. Class treatment will create economies of time, effort, and expense and promote uniform  
17 decision-making.

18           42.     Plaintiffs reserve the right to revise the foregoing class allegations and definitions  
19 based on facts learned and legal developments following additional investigation, discovery, or  
20 otherwise.

**VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Violation of the Washington Wiretap Statute  
WA Rev. Code § 9.73.030  
(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class)**

43. Under Washington law, it is unlawful for any person or corporation to “intercept or record... any [p]rivate conversation, by any device electronic or otherwise... without first obtaining the consent of all the persons engaged in the conversation.” RCW § 9.73..

44. A person who violates the Washington wiretap statute is liable for actual damages, including mental pain and suffering, or liquidated damages computed at the rate of one hundred dollars a day, not to exceed one thousand dollars, and attorneys’ fees. RCS § 9.73.60.

45. The voices and communications of Plaintiffs and Class Members were private conversations intercepted and recorded by Alexa, a device designed to record and/or transmit, and/or permanently stored and analyzed for use by Amazon. , without the consent of all parties to the private conversation

46. Plaintiffs and the Class members did not suspect that Amazon would intercept, create, store, analyze, and use permanent or semi-permanent recordings of their voices and communications recorded by Alexa Devices.

47. At no time did Plaintiffs and the Class Members ever provide Amazon with consent to record, store, analyze, or use their voices and communications that Amazon recorded and Amazon never sought or obtained such consent or otherwise attempted to satisfy the consent requirement with a recording that itself was recorded.

48. By creating permanent or semi-permanent recordings of Plaintiffs’ and the Class Members’ voices and Alexa communications, Amazon intercepted and/or recorded their private

1 conversations without first obtaining their consent, in violation of the Washington wiretap  
2 statute. As a direct and proximate cause of Amazon's violation of the statute, Plaintiffs and the  
3 Class Members have suffered injury and are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief and an  
4 award of damages as set forth below.

5  
6 **VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **Unjust Enrichment**  
8 **(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class)**

9 49. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference the foregoing allegations as if  
10 fully set forth herein.

11 50. Amazon wrongfully and unlawfully recorded, stored, analyzed, and used the  
12 voices and communications of Plaintiffs and the Members of the Class as described above.

13 51. Upon information and belief, Amazon has realized many millions of dollars in  
14 revenue from its wrongful conduct.

15 52. Plaintiffs and the Class Members conferred a measurable benefit on Amazon.

16 53. Amazon has been unjustly enriched by accepting this unlawful benefit and knew  
17 or should have known that its conduct described above was unlawful warranting a disgorgement  
18 of Amazon's profits to be awarded to Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

19  
20 **X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs James Speer and Carla Hudson, on behalf of themselves and  
22 the proposed Class Members, respectfully request that the Court:

- 23 1. Certify this case as a class action on behalf of the Class defined above, appointing  
24 Plaintiffs representatives of the Class, and appointing their counsel as class  
25 2. Declare that Amazon's conduct, as set out above, violates the Washington wiretap  
statute (RCW § 9.73.030), the common law privacy rights of Plaintiffs and the

Class Members, and that Amazon was unjustly enriched by its unlawful and unfair conduct;

3. Order Amazon to completely delete all recordings of the Class Members and prevent further recording of the Class Members' voices and communications without their prior express consent;
4. Award to Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members liquidated damages of \$100 per day up to \$1,000 under RCW § 9.73.060 as well as all other available nominal, statutory, and compensatory damages in a total amount to be determined at trial;
5. Award punitive damages to Plaintiffs and the Class Members in a total amount to be determined at trial;
6. Disgorge and award to Plaintiffs and the Class Members the profits Amazon realized from its unlawful conduct described above;
7. Award Plaintiffs and the Class Members their reasonable litigation expenses and attorneys' fees;
8. Award Plaintiffs and the Class Members pre- and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law;
9. Award such other further injunctive and declaratory relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiffs and the Class Members; and
10. Award such other and further relief as the Court deems reasonable and just.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021.

BORDE LAW PLLC  
By: s/ Manish Borde  
MANISH BORDE, WSBA #39503  
600 Stewart St., 400  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 905-6129  
E-mail: mborde@bordelaw.com

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

By: s/ Robert K. Shelquist  
ROBERT K. SHELQUIST (pro hac vice to be filed)

1 REBECCA A. PETERSON (pro hac vice to be filed)  
2 100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200  
3 Minneapolis, MN 55401  
4 Telephone: (612) 339-6900  
5 Facsimile: (612) 339-0981  
6 E-mail: rkshelquist@locklaw.com  
7 rapeterson@locklaw.com

8 SHINDLER, ANDERSON, GOPLERUD  
9 & WEESE, P.C.  
10 J. Barton Goplerud (pro hac vice to be filed)  
11 Brian O. Marty (pro hac vice to be filed)  
12 Brandon M. Bohlman (pro hac vice to be filed)  
13 5015 Grand Ridge Drive, Suite 100  
14 West Des Moines, Iowa 50265  
15 Telephone: (515) 223-4567  
16 Fax: (515) 223-8887  
17 Email: goplerud@sagwlaw.com  
18 marty@sagwlaw.com  
19 bohlman@sagwlaw.com

20 CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP  
21 Charles J. LaDuca (pro hac vice to be filed)  
22 Brendan Thompson (pro hac vice to be filed)  
23 4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 200  
24 Washington, DC 20016  
25 Telephone: 202 789 3960  
Email: charlesl@cuneolaw.com  
brendant@cuneolaw.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS**